



PH 65510014  
USA



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ




I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed

*Answer*


Dated

8<sup>th</sup> August 2001

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USFTD)**

The  
Patent  
Office

1/77



05FEB01 E603322-1 003008  
P01/7700 0.00-0102768.9

**Request for grant of a patent**

(See notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

**The Patent Office**

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
Gwent NP9 1RH

1.	Your reference	PHGB 010014
2.	Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part)	0102768.9      02 FEB 2001
3.	Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant ( <i>underline all surnames</i> )	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. GROENEWOUDSEWEG 1 5621 BA EINDHOVEN THE NETHERLANDS
	Patents ADP Number ( <i>if you know it</i> )	7 419294 001
	If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation	THE NETHERLANDS
4.	Title of the invention	WIRELESS TERMINAL
5.	Name of your agent ( <i>if you have one</i> )	KEVIN JAMES SCOTT
	"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent ( <i>including the postcode</i> )	Philips Corporate Intellectual Property Cross Oak Lane Redhill Surrey RH1 5HA
	Patents ADP number ( <i>if you know it</i> )	765888 3001
6.	If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and ( <i>if you know it</i> ) the or each application number	Country      Priority Application number      Date of filing
7.	If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application      Date of filing (day/month/year)
8.	Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? ( <i>Answer "Yes" if:</i> a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or c) any named applicant is a corporate body. See note (d))	YES

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	10
Claims(s)	1
Abstract	1
Drawings	8 only -

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item:

Priority Documents

Translations of priority documents  
Statement of inventorship and right  
to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)  
Request for preliminary examination and  
search (*Patents Form 9/77*)  
Request for substantive examination  
(*Patents Form 10/77*)  
Any other documents  
(Please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature



Date

1<sup>st</sup> February 2001

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

01293 81 5281

K J SCOTT

**Warning**

*After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.*

**Notes**

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.*
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.*
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.*
- If you have answered "Yes" Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.*
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.*
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.*

## DESCRIPTION

## WIRELESS TERMINAL

5       The present invention relates to a wireless terminal providing antenna diversity, for example a mobile phone handset.

Wireless terminals, such as mobile phone handsets, typically incorporate either an external antenna, such as a normal mode helix or  
10   meander line antenna, or an internal antenna, such as a Planar Inverted-F Antenna (PIFA) or similar.

Such antennas are small (relative to a wavelength) and therefore, owing to the fundamental limits of small antennas, narrowband. However, cellular radio communication systems typically have a fractional bandwidth of 10% or  
15   more. To achieve such a bandwidth from a PIFA for example requires a considerable volume, there being a direct relationship between the bandwidth of a patch antenna and its volume, but such a volume is not readily available with the current trends towards small handsets. Hence, because of the limits referred to above, it is not feasible to achieve efficient wideband radiation from  
20   small antennas in present-day wireless terminals.

A further problem with known antenna arrangements for wireless terminals is that they are generally unbalanced, and therefore couple strongly to the terminal case. As a result a significant amount of radiation emanates from the terminal itself rather than the antenna. A wireless terminal in which an  
25   antenna feed is directly coupled to the terminal case, thereby taking advantage of this situation, is disclosed in our co-pending unpublished United Kingdom patent application 0019335.9 (Applicant's reference PHGB000105). When fed appropriately, the terminal case acts as an efficient, wideband radiator.

In many situations it is desirable for a wireless terminal to implement  
30   antenna diversity, whereby two or more antennas are used together to improve performance over that which can be achieved with a single antenna. In general, antenna diversity results in better reception, power savings and hence

longer battery life. However, provision of two or more conventional antennas in a wireless terminal, such as a mobile phone handset, requires a significant extra volume which is undesirable given the present trend to smaller and smaller handsets.

5

An object of the present invention is to provide a compact wireless terminal having antenna diversity and efficient radiation properties over a wide bandwidth.

According to the present invention there is provided a wireless terminal  
10 comprising a ground conductor and a transceiver coupled to a plurality of antenna feeds, wherein each antenna feed is coupled directly to the ground conductor.

Because the ground conductor (typically a handset body) is used as the radiating element, there is minimal extra volume required to implement  
15 antenna diversity (simply the volume occupied by a second capacitor or other coupling element). Hence, the present invention provides antenna diversity with a much-reduced volume requirement compared to known arrangements, while also providing a significantly larger bandwidth. Although the use of two feeds to a common radiating element might be expected to result in high  
20 correlation between the two antenna patterns, it is shown that in fact low correlation (and hence good diversity performance) is achieved in practice.

The present invention is based upon the recognition, not present in the prior art, that the impedances of an antenna and a wireless handset are similar to those of an asymmetric dipole, which are separable, and on the further  
25 recognition that the antenna impedance can be replaced with a non-radiating coupling element.

Embodiments of the present invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, wherein:

30 Figure 1 shows a model of an asymmetrical dipole antenna, representing the combination of an antenna and a wireless terminal;

Figure 2 is a graph demonstrating the separability of the components of the impedance of an asymmetrical dipole;

Figure 3 is an equivalent circuit of the combination of a handset and an antenna;

5        Figure 4 is an equivalent circuit of a capacitively back-coupled handset;

Figure 5 is a perspective view of a basic capacitively back-coupled handset;

Figure 6 is a graph of simulated return loss  $S_{11}$  in dB against frequency  $f$  in MHz for the handset of Figure 5;

10        Figure 7 is a Smith chart showing the simulated impedance of the handset of Figure 5 over the frequency range 1000 to 2800MHz;

Figure 8 is a graph showing the simulated resistance of the handset of Figure 5;

15        Figure 9 is a perspective view of a doubly-slotted capacitively back-coupled handset having two feeds;

Figure 10 is a graph of simulated return loss  $S_{11}$  in dB against frequency  $f$  in MHz for one feed of the handset of Figure 9;

Figure 11 is a Smith chart showing the simulated impedance of one feed of the handset of Figure 9 over the frequency range 1000 to 2800MHz;

20        Figure 12 is a graph of simulated return loss  $S_{11}$  in dB against frequency  $f$  in MHz for one feed of the handset of Figure 9 with additional matching;

Figure 13 is a Smith chart showing the simulated impedance of one feed of the handset of Figure 9, with additional matching, over the frequency range 1000 to 2800MHz;

25        Figure 14 is a graph of simulated return loss  $S_{11}$  in dB against frequency  $f$  in MHz for one feed of the handset of Figure 9 with additional matching and held in a hand; and

30        Figure 15 is a Smith chart showing the simulated impedance of one feed of the handset of Figure 9, with additional matching and held in a hand, over the frequency range 1000 to 2800MHz.

In the drawings the same reference numerals have been used to indicate corresponding features.

Figure 1 shows a model of the impedance seen by a transceiver, in transmit mode, in a wireless handset at its antenna feed point. The impedance is modelled as an asymmetrical dipole, where the first arm 102 represents the impedance of the antenna and the second arm 104 the impedance of the handset, both arms being driven by a source 106. As shown in the figure, the impedance of such an arrangement is substantially equivalent to the sum of the impedance of each arm 102, 104 driven separately against a virtual ground 108. The model could equally well be used for reception by replacing the source 106 by an impedance representing that of the transceiver, although this is rather more difficult to simulate.

The validity of this model was checked by simulations using the well-known NEC (Numerical Electromagnetics Code) with the first arm 102 having a length of 40mm and a diameter of 1mm and the second arm 104 having a length of 80mm and a diameter of 1mm. Figure 2 shows the results for the real and imaginary parts of the impedance ( $R+jX$ ) of the combined arrangement (Ref R and Ref X) together with results obtained by simulating the impedances separately and summing the result. It can be seen that the results of the simulations are quite close. The only significant deviation is in the region of half-wave resonance, when the impedance is difficult to simulate accurately.

An equivalent circuit for the combination of an antenna and a handset, as seen from the antenna feed point, is shown in Figure 3.  $R_1$  and  $jX_1$  represent the impedance of the antenna, while  $R_2$  and  $jX_2$  represent the impedance of the handset. From this equivalent circuit it can be deduced that the ratio of power radiated by the antenna,  $P_1$ , and the handset,  $P_2$ , is given by

$$\frac{P_1}{P_2} = \frac{R_1}{R_2}$$

If the size of the antenna is reduced, its radiation resistance  $R_1$  will also reduce. If the antenna becomes infinitesimally small its radiation resistance  $R_1$  will fall to zero and all of the radiation will come from the handset. This



situation can be made beneficial if the handset impedance is suitable for the source 106 driving it and if the capacitive reactance of the infinitesimal antenna can be minimised by increasing the capacitive back-coupling to the handset.

5        With these modifications, the equivalent circuit is modified to that shown in Figure 4. The antenna has therefore been replaced with a physically very small back-coupling capacitor, designed to have a large capacitance for maximum coupling and minimum reactance. The residual reactance of the back-coupling capacitor can be tuned out with a simple matching circuit. By  
10       correct design of the handset, the resulting bandwidth can be much greater than with a conventional antenna and handset combination, because the handset acts as a low Q radiating element (simulations show that a typical Q is around 1), whereas conventional antennas typically have a Q of around 50.

      A basic embodiment of a capacitively back-coupled handset is shown in  
15       Figure 5. A handset 502 has dimensions of 10×40×100mm, typical of modern cellular handsets. A parallel plate capacitor 504, having dimensions 2×10×10mm, is formed by mounting a 10×10mm plate 506 2mm above the top edge 508 of the handset 502, in the position normally occupied by a much larger antenna. The resultant capacitance is about 0.5pF, representing a  
20       compromise between capacitance (which would be increased by reducing the separation of the handset 502 and plate 506) and coupling effectiveness (which depends on the separation of the handset 502 and plate 506). The capacitor is fed via a support 510, which is insulated from the handset case 502.

25       The return loss  $S_{11}$  of this embodiment after matching was simulated using the High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS), available from Ansoft Corporation, with the results shown in Figure 6 for frequencies  $f$  between 1000 and 2800MHz. A conventional two inductor "L" network was used to match at 1900MHz. The resultant bandwidth at 7dB return loss (corresponding to  
30       approximately 90% of input power radiated) is approximately 60MHz, or 3%, which is useful but not as large as was required. A Smith chart illustrating the

simulated impedance of this embodiment over the same frequency range is shown in Figure 7.

The low bandwidth is because the combination of the handset 502 and capacitor 504 present an impedance of approximately  $3-j90\Omega$  at 1900MHz.

Figure 8 shows the resistance variation, over the same frequency range as before, simulated using HFSS. This can be improved by redesigning the case to increase the resistance, for example by the use of a slot or a narrower handset, as discussed in our co-pending unpublished United Kingdom patent application 0019335.9

In order to provide antenna diversity, at least two coupling elements are required. An example of how this can be done is shown in Figure 9. A diversity handset 902 has a conducting case having dimensions of  $10 \times 40 \times 100\text{mm}$ , into which two slots 912 have been cut. Each slot 912 has a width of 3mm and a depth of 29.5mm and is placed 12mm in from a side of the handset 902. As in the previous embodiment, a capacitor 504 is formed from a plate 506, having dimensions  $10 \times 10\text{mm}$ , mounted 4mm above the top surface 908 of the handset 902 on a support 510.

The return loss  $S_{11}$  of this embodiment was simulated using HFSS, with the results shown in Figure 10 for frequencies  $f$  between 1000 and 2800MHz.

In the simulation one capacitor 504 was fed directly, without matching, while the other capacitor 504 was left open circuit. There are two resonances present, one centred at 1.83GHz and the other at 2.24GHz. The first resonance is similar to that which would be achieved if only one capacitor 504 and slot 912 were present, as shown in our co-pending unpublished UK patent application 0019335.9. The second resonance is due to the presence of an additional slot 912. The centre frequency of the first resonance is reduced by the presence of a second slot 912, and hence the length of the slots 912 is reduced compared to an embodiment having a single slot. A Smith chart illustrating the simulated impedance of this embodiment over the same frequency range is shown in Figure 11. The rapid changes in impedance in the Smith chart reflect the narrow-band nature of the second resonance.

The response of this embodiment can be improved by matching. Simulations were performed using a similar two inductor matching network to that employed in the basic embodiment, but matching both feeds simultaneously. This would be used in a dual receiver diversity architecture, where both antennas are available simultaneously. Similar performance could be obtained with one feed connected and matched while the other is disconnected or loaded with another impedance, as would be used in a switched diversity configuration.

Results for the return loss  $S_{11}$  are shown in Figure 12 for frequencies  $f$  between 1000 and 2800MHz. The resultant bandwidth at 7dB return loss is now approximately 750MHz, or nearly 40%. This is more than enough to cover UMTS and DCS 1800 bands simultaneously, which require coverage from 1710 to 2170MHz. A Smith chart illustrating the simulated impedance of this embodiment over the same frequency range is shown in Figure 13.

Further simulations were performed in which the handset was hand-held, with a 1cm-thick hand placed around the lowest 60mm of the handset and surrounding it on three sides. The hand was simulated as a uniform volume of complex dielectric material, having a dielectric constant of 49 and a conductivity of 1.6S/m at 1900MHz. Results for return loss  $S_{11}$  and a Smith chart are shown in Figures 14 and 15 respectively. Despite the handset acting as part of the radiating system, the antenna efficiency is only reduced by 27% (computed as the ratio of input power to power integrated over the problem space boundary in the simulation.) This is a similar reduction in efficiency to that found when conventional handsets are hand-held.

For antenna diversity to be useful, it is necessary that the radiation patterns of the individual antennas are sufficiently decorrelated. A correlation of less than 0.7 is generally taken to indicate good diversity performance. The correlation of the handset 902 was computed, for matched feeds, at three frequencies across the operating band and for a variety of usage scenarios, with the following results:

	Frequency (MHz)		
Environment	1711	1918	2170
rural	0.58	0.21	0.63
suburban	0.46	0.10	0.51
urban macro/microcell	0.45	0.10	0.50
urban picocell	0.46	0.11	0.51
outdoors to indoors	0.34	0.04	0.37
indoors	0.35	0.05	0.39

The correlation was also computed for a hand-held handset, with the hand covering the lower 60mm of three sides of the handset 902. The following results were obtained:

	Frequency (MHz)		
Environment	1711	1918	2170
rural	0.21	0.04	0.45
suburban	0.14	0.05	0.46
urban macro/microcell	0.18	0.06	0.45
urban picocell	0.10	0.00	0.38
outdoors to indoors	0.06	0.02	0.30
indoors	0.09	0.01	0.31

5        The above results clearly demonstrate that good diversity performance is obtained in a range of environments over a wide bandwidth. Results would be expected to be similarly good for the case of one capacitor 504 fed with the other capacitor 504 terminated in an unmatched load, as would be the case for switched diversity.

10        The diversity embodiment described above made use of slots 912 in the handset case 902 to enhance the feed match for coverage of both DCS1800 and UMTS bands. Other embodiments are possible (including those without handset slots) which may trade off bandwidth against volume for example. When slots are provided, they may be extended to run the full length of the  
15 handset, and additional slots may also be provided for enhanced multi-band operation. The function of the slots 912 in the diversity embodiment described

above is to provide an impedance transformation so that the antenna feed provides a reasonable match to  $50\Omega$ . Adequate diversity performance should be achieved providing that the antenna feeds are separated sufficiently on the ground conductor 902 (for example those in Figure 9 are separated by  
5 approximately 0.2 wavelengths at 1711MHz).

The embodiments disclosed above are based on capacitive coupling. However, any other sacrificial (non-radiating) coupling element could be used instead, for example inductive coupling. Also, the coupling element could be altered in order to aid impedance matching. For example, capacitive coupling  
10 could be achieved via an inductive element. This would allow easier matching to yield a more wideband response.

In the above embodiments a conducting handset case has been the radiating element. However, other ground conductors in a wireless terminal could perform a similar function. Examples include conductors used for EMC  
15 shielding and an area of Printed Circuit Board (PCB) metallisation, for example a ground plane.

From reading the present disclosure, other modifications will be apparent to persons skilled in the art. Such modifications may involve other features which are already known in the design, manufacture and use of  
20 wireless terminals and component parts thereof, and which may be used instead of or in addition to features already described herein. Although claims have been formulated in this application to particular combinations of features, it should be understood that the scope of the disclosure of the present application also includes any novel feature or any novel combination of  
25 features disclosed herein either explicitly or implicitly or any generalisation thereof, whether or not it relates to the same invention as presently claimed in any claim and whether or not it mitigates any or all of the same technical problems as does the present invention. The applicants hereby give notice that new claims may be formulated to such features and/or combinations of  
30 features during the prosecution of the present application or of any further application derived therefrom.

In the present specification and claims the word "a" or "an" preceding an element does not exclude the presence of a plurality of such elements. Further, the word "comprising" does not exclude the presence of other elements or steps than those listed.

## CLAIMS

1. A wireless terminal comprising a ground conductor and a transceiver coupled to a plurality of antenna feeds, wherein each antenna feed  
5 is coupled directly to the ground conductor.

2. A terminal as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that each antenna feed is coupled to the ground conductor via a capacitor.

10 3. A terminal as claimed in claim 2, characterised in that the capacitor is a parallel plate capacitor formed by a conducting plate and a portion of the ground conductor.

4. A terminal as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3, characterised  
15 in that a slot is provided in the ground conductor.

5. A terminal as claimed in claim 4, characterised in that the slot is parallel to the major axis of the terminal.

20 6. A terminal as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 5, characterised in that the ground conductor is a handset case.

7. A terminal as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 5, characterised  
25 in that the ground conductor is a printed circuit board ground plane.

8. A terminal as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, characterised in that a matching network is provided between the transceiver and each antenna feed.

30 9. A wireless terminal substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to and as shown in the accompanying drawings.

## ABSTRACT

## WIRELESS TERMINAL

5        A wireless terminal having antenna diversity comprises a transceiver  
coupled to a plurality of antenna feeds and a ground conductor (902), the  
antenna feeds being coupled directly to the ground conductor (902). In one  
embodiment the ground conductor is a conducting case (902). The coupling  
may be via parallel plate capacitors (504) formed by a respective plate (506)  
10    and a surface (908) of the case (902). The case (902) acts as an efficient,  
wideband radiator, eliminating the need for separate antennas. Slots (912)  
may be provided to increase the radiating bandwidth of the terminal and  
improve its diversity performance. Good diversity performance is obtained in a  
range of environments, whether the terminal is hand-held or free-standing.

15

(Figure 9)



1/8




FIG. 1






FIG. 2

2/8




**FIG. 3**




**FIG. 4**

3/8




**FIG. 5**




**FIG. 6**

4/8




**FIG. 7**




**FIG. 8**

5/8




**FIG. 9**

6/8




**FIG. 10**




**FIG. 11**

7/8




**FIG. 12**




**FIG. 13**

8/8



**FIG. 14**



**FIG. 15**